





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspfo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/765,104	01/16/2001	Anne N. Murphy	660088.438	8241	
500 7.	590 07/23/2003				
SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC			EXAMINER		
701 FIFTH AVE SUITE 6300 SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092			SIEW, JEFFREY		
SEATTLE, WA	A 98104-7092		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1637		
·			DATE MAILED: 07/23/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

:	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/765,104	MURPHY ET AL.			
riavioury rieuen	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jeffrey Siew	1637			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars n the c ver sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 11 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);				
(c) \(\sum_\) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claim	S.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	• • •		ind an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21,43-59,64-96</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:					

## \* Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 009/765,104

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the newly amended claims recite extensive limitations which would require new consideration and search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: While the proposed language if entered further clarifies the specific uncoupling activity and would likely overcome the cited the prior art, the new limitation would require 112 first paragraph consideration and new search. An RCE is recommended.

JEFFREY SIEW PRIMARY EXAMINER